In this paper, a double input DC-DC converter based on modified Z-source converter is suggested for standalone PV/Battery system application. The configuration of double input DC-DC converter under one port powered off is presented to solve problem of randomness and intermittency in distributed power source. In the proposed topology, the input DC voltage is boosted and achieved higher voltage gain with lower voltage stress on power switches. Other merits of the proposed converter are that it operates with wide range of load, and input DC voltage sources supply DC load individually or simultaneously. The configuration of the proposed double input DC-DC converter is introduced and then, its operation principle is analyzed in detail. Switches voltage and current stresses are studied and then, calculation of voltage gain is performed for different states of the converter. Finally, simulation results are given to verify the theoretical analysis and performance of the suggested DC-DC converter.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, development of power electronic systems for renewable energy applications to obtain efficient and clean energy sources has received more and more interest. Common forms of renewable energy resources include solar and wind energy, hydroenergy, geothermal energy and many of such sources can be employed simultaneously to deliver continuous power to loads. Photovoltaic (PV) is one of the interesting renewable sources due to its clean power generation using the sunlight [1]. This energy has important advantages such as incompleteness, noise-free operation, lack of actuator and mechanical, environmentally friendly and non-contamination. The production of most renewable energy, such as wind and sun, depends on the environmental conditions in various hours of a day and different seasons during a year. Therefore, in energy systems with such energy sources as the main source, the generated power depends on weather conditions. In recent years, the problem of combining such energy sources with other energy sources is considered to improve the reliability of the system. In these systems, energy storage is needed to prevent the reduction or short-term interruptions in power generation. In order to form such hybrid systems, various combinations of sources with energy storage system such as Wind/PV/FC/Battery or PV/FC/Battery systems have been considered.

Some configurations have been introduced for operation of hybrid power systems in which a number of renewable energy sources are connected to a common DC bus through a number of separated single input converters [2, 3]. In such a hybrid power system, to combine the input energy sources with different voltage-current characteristics and generate the intended output voltage, the multi-input converters (MICs) should be employed. Simple structure, high reliability, central control and low building cost are the main advantages of this category of converters [4, 5]. Many researchers have proposed different topologies for DC-DC with emphasis on various subjects such as reduced elements, multi-terminal, high voltage gain, lower voltage and current stresses of elements and so on [6]- [8]. A novel multi-input isolated three-level converter for sustainable energy systems adopting high DC-link voltage is proposed in [9]. A configuration using a combination of a charging switch and a series-connected double-input DC-DC converter (SCDIC) is presented in [10]. The introduced topology scheme uses a combination of a charging switch and a SCDIC. In the case of one port powering or one input being short-circuit, the suggested
topology forms a bootstrap circuit with the help of the charging switch and SCDIC switches to charge the powered off port and maintain the expected output voltage, which enhances the reliability of double input conversion, making it robust against one input powering off and fault tolerance against one input short-circuit. Multiple DC sources are integrated to the three-level DC-DC converter, resulting in reduced part-count and allowing flexibility in transformer design and DC-link voltage selection. The proposed architecture eliminates two boost switches which are present in the two-stage counterpart, and enables utilizing low voltage switches. Various multi-input converter based on non-isolated configurations are proposed in [11]. A systematic method for derivation of a multiport converter (MPC) based on the DC-link inductor concept is presented. The MPC is generated by interconnecting multiple pulsating voltage cells (PVCs) through the DLIs. The PVCs can be input type, output type, and bidirectional type, and bidirectional MPC topologies can be harvested if all the PVCs are bidirectional type. A new integrated inverter configuration based on double input DC-DC converter is presented in [1]. Proposed structure of module integrated grid-connected photovoltaic (GPV) is based on double input DC-DC converters. Special structure and switching strategy of the proposed converter result in high efficient power conditioning system for GPVs. The proposed structure of module-integrated GPV uses Dual-#PV module (DPVM) contains two PVs. A multi-port DC-DC converter for PV/ES power system application is suggested in [12]. Due to application of PV/ES power supply systems in urban places, a high efficiency converter with low number of elements and low generated electromagnetic noise is created. To achieve the mentioned features, soft switching operation is incorporated in the mentioned converter. A special PWM switching strategy is used to achieve soft switching condition in double input operation mode. The converter can be applied to sideward conversion, which allows ES to charge and discharge. In [13], configuration synthesis methodology of variety of single-input double-output (SIDO) and double-input single-output (DISO) DC-DC converters with less components number is studied. The principle of topology synthesis states that integrated SIDO and DISO DC–DC converters can be easily developed from conventional SISO converters by replacing a diode with a basic cell inclusive of additional input/output port. The principle is effective for many SISO DC–DC converters, and as an example, topology synthesis based on buck, boost, buck–boost, Cuk, sepic, and zeta SISO converters is performed.

Commonly, the non-isolated MICs are introduced based on structure of the conventional buck or boost DC-DC converters. Due to some special characteristics, Z-source converters (ZSC) were proposed. Advantages of Z-source DC-DC converter over conventional DC-DC converters are less inrush current, improved reliability, and less harmonic injection [14]. A modified Z-source DC-DC converter is proposed in [15], the introduced converter can obtain higher voltage gain with lower voltage stresses of switch and the impedance network capacitor and operate with wide-range load. Therefore, the introduced Z-source DC-DC converter not only has lower cost but also has smaller weight and size. In [16], three typical connection ways between the power source and the impedance network have been concluded. Then different kinds of Z-source DC-DC converter can be obtained by selecting the impedance network type, connection way between the input source and the output load. Application of a Z-source DC-DC converter based on the one-port impedance network, operating in continuous conduction mode (CCM) for PV power generation in a DC microgrid is studied in [17]. A photovoltaic array is connected to the input of ZSC, which provides high voltage gain. In [18], a non-isolated high step-up DC-DC converter which is the derivation of Z-source converter and has a higher voltage gain in comparison to the conventional converters is presented. This advantage makes this converter a suitable choice for photovoltaic applications. Moreover, high boost voltage is obtained with low duty cycle and the energy of leakage inductances is absorbed so the efficiency is increased. In [19], a single stage PV grid integrated modified Z-source inverter is presented. The component sizing, modeling and different modes of operation have been presented. To combine a PV source, a fuel cell source and a battery in a unified configuration, a three-input boost DC-DC converter is presented in [20]. The introduced converter interfaces two unidirectional input power ports and a bidirectional port for a storage element in a unified structure. This converter is interesting for hybridizing alternative energy sources such as PV/FC/battery. Supplying the output load, charging or discharging the battery can be made by the PV and the FC power sources individually or simultaneously. The mentioned structure utilizes only four power switches that are independently controlled with four different duty ratios.

In this paper, a series-connected double input DC-DC converter based on a modified Z-source network is presented. The suggested configuration is suitable for combination of a renewable energy source such as PV unit and battery energy storage system. All operation modes of the converter are analyzed in detail and then, voltage and current stresses of the converter circuit elements are calculated. The converter voltage gain is achieved. Supplementary studies are performed in MATLAB/SIMULINK to verify the performance of the proposed DC-DC converter.

2. PROPOSED CONVERTER CONFIGURATION

Configuration of the proposed double input Z-source DC-DC converter is shown in Fig. 1. The suggested topology consists of passive and active elements including bidirectional switches $S_{11}$, $S_{21}$, $S_{12}$, $S_{22}$, $S_{c1}$, $S_{c2}$, $S_{l}$ and two input sources $V_{in1}$ and $V_{in2}$. The proposed converter can be applied to combine a renewable power sources and battery energy storage system. Indeed, one of the input sources is considered as a backup resource or energy storage during there is no access to the input power source. The topology also has a bootstrap capacitor, $C_3$. In the converter topology, capacitor $C_4$ shows filter element, and $R_L$ indicates load resistance. Inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$, and capacitors, $C_1$ and $C_2$ are impedance network elements.
3. PROPOSED CONVERTER OPERATION PRINCIPLE

In steady-state analysis, all elements of converter are assumed to be ideal, the filter capacitor is sufficiently large, and the resistive load is considered at the converter output. Also, in the impedance network, inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$, and capacitors $C_1$ and $C_2$ are assumed to be equal. The proposed double input DC-DC converter operates in 5 states, and each state has one or two operation modes. $D_{SC1}$ and $D_{SC2}$ are the duty cycle of switches $S_{C1}$ and $S_{C2}$, and $S_{S11}$, $D_{S12}$, $D_{S21}$ and $D_{S22}$ are duty cycles of switches $S_{11}$, $S_{12}$, $S_{21}$ and $S_{22}$. Also, $D_{S1}$ is defined as duty cycle of switch $S_{1}$. When one of the input sources is available, two operation states are defined. When the output voltage is greater than either of two input voltages, the duty ratio of switch of the input power unit, $S_{21}$, should be maximum therefore, in this mode, the switch $S_{21}$ should conduct. The driving signals of switches $S_{C1}$ and $S_{C2}$ are the same as $S_{S11}$.

A. State I (time interval $0 \leq t < t_1$)

In this state, switches $S_{11}$, $S_{21}$ and $S_{C}$ conduct, and switches $S_{12}$ and $S_{22}$ are off. From the input outputs, only $V_{in2}$ is connected to the converter. As shown in Fig. 2, the first state includes two operation modes, which are introduced as in the following:

First operation mode ($0 \leq t < t'1$): According to Fig. 2(a), in this mode, switch $S_1$ is on, and diodes $D_1$ and $D_2$ are off. For the switch duty cycles greater than 0.5, the converter has negative voltage gain, the polarity of the output voltage is reversed, and the converter operates in the buck/boost mode. For the switch duty cycle less than 0.5, the converter operates in the boost mode. Z-network inductor values are equal therefore, the voltages and currents of these inductors are also equal. The inductor voltage and current are obtained as given in (1)-(4).

\[
v_{L1} = V_{in2} + V_{C2} \tag{1}
\]
\[
v_{L2} = V_{in2} + V_{C1} \tag{2}
\]
\[
i_{L1} = [(V_{in2} + V_{C2})(t')] / L_1 + I_{L1,min} > 0 \tag{3}
\]
\[
i_{L2} = [(V_{in2} + V_{C1})(t')] / L_2 + I_{L2,min} > 0 \tag{4}
\]

According to (3) and (4), inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$ are being charged. Voltages $V_{C2}$ and $V_{C1}$ are the average value of capacitors $C_1$ and $C_2$ voltage.

\[
i_{C1} = -i_{L1} \tag{5}
\]
\[
i_{C2} = -i_{L2} \tag{6}
\]

According to (4) and (5), capacitors $C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_4$ are discharged. Actually $V_{in2}$ charged capacitor $C_3$ and inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$.

Second operation mode ($t' \leq t < t_1$): In the second mode, switch $S_1$ and diode $D_2$ are turned off, and $D_1$ is turned on according to Fig. 2(b). The following results are obtained:

In Fig. 2(a) and (b), the power is not transfer from $V_{in2}$ to load, however, in these two modes, the voltage source $V_{in2}$ charges the inductors of the impedance network and capacitor $C_3$.

\[
v_{L1} = -V_{C1} \tag{7}
\]
\[
v_{L2} = -V_{C2} \tag{8}
\]
\[
i_{L1} = -[(V_{C1})(t_1 - t')] / L_1 + I_{L1,max} < 0 \tag{9}
\]
\[
i_{L2} = -[(V_{C2})(t_1 - t')] / L_2 + I_{L2,max} < 0 \tag{10}
\]

According to (9) and (10), the inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$ are discharged. Fig. 2(b) shows that the capacitors $C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_3$ are charged.

B. State II (time interval $t_1 \leq t < t_2$)

Equivalent circuit of the proposed converter in this state is illustrated in Fig. 3. Switches $S_{12}$ and $S_{21}$ are on and switches $S_{11}$, $S_{22}$, $S_{C1}$, $S_{C2}$ are off. Diodes $D_1$ and $D_2$ are on and switch $S_1$ is off. From Fig. 3, the inductor voltage, $v_{L1}$, is obtained as given in the following:

\[
v_{L1} = V_{in2} + V_{C3} + V_{C2} - V_O \tag{11}
\]
\[
v_{L2} = V_{in2} + V_{C3} + V_{C1} - V_O \tag{12}
\]

The converter boosts the input voltage, so we have:

\[
i_{L1} = [(V_{in2} + V_{C3} + V_{C2} - V_O)(t_2 - t_1)] / L_1 + I_{L_a} < 0 \tag{13}
\]
\[
i_{L2} = [(V_{in2} + V_{C3} + V_{C1} - V_O)(t_2 - t_1)] / L_2 + I_{L_b} < 0 \tag{14}
\]

Therefore, inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$ are discharged. From Fig. 3, it is concluded that:

\[
v_{L1} = -V_{C1} \tag{15}
\]
Fig. 3. Converter equivalent circuit in state II.

\[ v_{L2} = -V_{C2} \]  
\[ i_{C1} = i_{L1} - i_{in2} \]  

Where, \( i_{in2} \) is the current of second input terminal. According to Fig. 3 and (17), the capacitors \( C_1, C_2 \) and \( C_4 \) are charged, and capacitor \( C_3 \) is discharged. The voltage and current waveforms of the converter elements in the first and second states of operation is illustrated in Fig. 4.

In this state, voltage source \( V_{in2} \) along with capacitor \( C_3 \) and impedance network inductors, delivers power to the load.

In this section, operation of the converter when both terminals input power are available is studied. Three different states are defined and described as given in the following.

**C. State III (time interval \( 0 \leq t < t_1 \))**

In this state, switches \( S_{12} \) and \( S_{21} \) conduct, and switches \( S_{11}, S_{22}, S_C1 \) and \( S_C2 \) are off. Both input terminals of the converter are involved to deliver power to the DC load. This state has two operation modes. Equivalent circuits of the converter in the modes are illustrated in Fig. 5.

First operation mode \( (0 \leq t < t') \): As shown in Fig. 5(a), switch \( S_1 \) is on and diodes \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are off in this mode. The inductor voltage, \( V_{L1} \), and inductors current are determined, as follow:

\[ v_{L1} = V_{in1} + V_{in2} + V_{C2} \]  
\[ v_{L2} = V_{in1} + V_{in2} + V_{C1} \]  
\[ i_{L1} = \left[ (V_{in1} + V_{in2} + V_{C1}) (t') \right] / L_1 + I_{L1,min} > 0 \]  
\[ i_{L2} = \left[ (V_{in1} + V_{in2} + V_{C1}) (t') \right] / L_2 + I_{L2,min} > 0 \]  

According to (20) and (21), inductors \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are charged. Also, capacitor \( C_4 \) is discharged on the output resistance.

Second operation mode \( (t' \leq t < t_1) \): As illustrated in Fig. 5(b), diodes \( D_2 \) and \( D_1 \) conduct and switch \( S_1 \) is off. In this mode, the inductor voltage, \( V_{L1} \), and inductors current are achieved as given in the following:

In this state, both sources are active, and in the first mode, voltage sources charge the inductors \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \), and then in the second mode, the load power is provided using the inductors discharge.

\[ v_{L1} = V_{in2} + V_{in1} + V_{C2} - V_O \]  
\[ v_{L2} = V_{in2} + V_{in1} + V_{C1} - V_O \]  

Therefore, inductors \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are discharged. Also, we have:
Fig. 5. Converter equivalent circuit in state III (a) first operation mode; (b) second operation mode.

\[ i_{C1} = i_{L1} - i_{in} \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

\[ i_{C1} = \left[ (V_{in2} + V_{in1} + V_{C2} - V_O) (t_1 - t') \right] / L_1 + I_{L1,max} - i_{in} > 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

where \( i_{in} \) is the current of the first voltage source. As shown in Fig. 5(b), current of capacitor \( C_2 \) is equal to (28).

\[ i_{C2} = \left[ (V_{in2} + V_{in1} + V_{C1} - V_O) (t_1 - t') \right] / L_2 + I_{L2,max} - i_{in} > 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Therefore, capacitors \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are charged. In this mode, capacitor \( C_3 \) is discharged and capacitor \( C_4 \) is charged.

D. State IV (time interval \( t_1 \leq t < T_S \))

In this state, the first voltage source is active. Switches \( S_{12} \) and \( S_{22} \) conduct and switches \( S_{11}, S_{21}, S_{C1} \) and \( S_{C2} \) are off. This state has two operation modes as shown in Fig. 6. Only the first voltage source is involved to deliver power to the load.

First operation mode \( (t_1 \leq t < t_2) \): As shown in Fig. 6(a), switch \( S_1 \) is on and diodes \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are off in this mode. The inductor voltage, \( v_{L1} \), and inductors current are determined as follow:

\[ v_{L1} = -v_{C1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

\[ v_{L2} = -v_{C2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

\[ i_{L1} = [(v_{C1} - V_{in1}) (T_S - t_1)] / L_1 + I_{La} < 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

\[ i_{L2} = [(v_{C2} - V_{in1}) (T_S - t_1)] / L_2 + I_{La} < 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

According to (35) and (36), inductors \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are discharged and capacitors \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are charged. The voltage and current waveforms of the converter elements in the states III and IV is illustrated in Fig. 7.

4. CALCULATION OF CONVERTER VOLTAGE GAIN

Inductor voltage balance law is applied to calculate voltage gain of the proposed converter. For the first and second states according to (1), (7) and (11), the following equation is achieved:


\[
V_{\text{in}2}D + V_C(2D - 1) = 0 \quad (37)
\]

\[
V_C = \frac{D}{1 - 2D}V_{\text{in}2} \quad (38)
\]

Average value of output voltage is equal to voltage drop across the switch \( S_1 \) so, the following equation is obtained:

\[
V_O = V_{\text{in}2} + 2V_C \quad (39)
\]

\[
G = \frac{V_O}{V_{\text{in}2}} = \frac{1}{1 - 2D} \quad (40)
\]

Similar analysis for state III, when both input sources are available, results in the following equation:

\[
(V_{\text{in}2} + V_{\text{in}1})D + V_C(2D - 0.5) = 0 \quad (41)
\]

According to (41), the average value of the capacitor voltage is determined as given in the following:

\[
V_C = \frac{D(V_{\text{in}2} + V_{\text{in}1})}{(0.5 - 2D)} \quad (42)
\]

\[
V_C = \frac{(-V_{\text{in}2} - V_{\text{in}1} + V_O)}{2} \quad (43)
\]

The converter voltage gain in the mentioned condition is achieved as follows:

\[
G = \frac{V_O}{V_{\text{in}1} + V_{\text{in}2}} = \frac{1 - 8D}{1 - 4D} \quad (44)
\]

Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show the voltage gain of the converter versus the duty cycle in states I&II and state III, respectively.

5. CALCULATION OF VOLTAGE AND CURRENT STRESSES OF ELEMENTS

In different modes, with regard to turning off and turning on of different switches, voltage and current stresses of elements are calculated. To obtain the maximum voltage across the switch \( S_{11} \) in the states III and IV, we have:

\[
V_{S11(\text{max})} = V_{\text{in}1} \quad (45)
\]

Similarly, in state I, to obtain the maximum voltage across the switch \( S_{12} \), we have:

\[
V_{S12(\text{max})} = V_{\text{in}2} \quad (46)
\]
Also, in state IV, the maximum voltage across the switch S21 is equal to maximum voltage across the switch S22 in the state III.

\[ V_{S21(\text{max})} = V_{S22(\text{max})} = V_{in2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (47)

In the same way, in states II, III (second mode), IV (first mode), the maximum voltage is obtained as given in the following:

\[ V_{S31(\text{max})} = V_{O} \]  \hspace{1cm} (48)

In the states II, III and IV, the maximum voltage across switches SCS1 and SSC2 is determined as given in the following:

\[ V_{S31(\text{max})} = V_{S32(\text{max})} = \frac{V_{in2}}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (49)

In all of the states, the maximum voltage across diode D1 is zero.

\[ V_{D1(\text{max})} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (50)

In states I, III (first mode) and IV, the maximum voltage across diode D2 is calculated as follows:

\[ V_{D2(\text{max})} = V_{in1} + V_{in2} + V_{C2} - V_{L1} - V_{O} \]  \hspace{1cm} (51)

The maximum voltage across diode Din in states I and II is achieved as follows:

\[ V_{Din(\text{max})} = V_{in1} - V_{C3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (52)

In state I, maximum current flow through the switch S11 is equal to maximum current of switches S12 and S21 in states II and III. In addition, maximum current flow through the switch S1 in state I (first mode) and state III (first mode) is obtained as given in the following:

\[ I_{S11(\text{max})} = I_{S12(\text{max})} = I_{S21(\text{max})} = I_{S31(\text{max})} = I_{in2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (53)

Similarly, switches SSC1 and SSC2 maximum currents in state I are determined as given in the following:

\[ I_{S31(\text{max})} = I_{S32(\text{max})} = I_{in2} - 2I_{L} \]  \hspace{1cm} (54)

As the same way for diodes, in the states I (second mode), II, III (second mode), IV (second mode), the maximum current flow through the diode D1 is calculated as follows:

\[ I_{D1(\text{max})} = I_{L} + I_{C} = 2I_{L} \]  \hspace{1cm} (55)

Likewise for diode D2 in state II and III (second mode), the maximum current is determined as given in the following:

\[ I_{D2(\text{max})} = I_{L} - I_{C} = I_{in2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (56)

For input diode, Din, in state IV and III, the maximum current is equal to (57).

\[ I_{Din(\text{max})} = I_{in1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (57)

6. CALCULATION OF VOLTAGE RIPPLE

To calculate appropriate value for capacitor C4, the passable range for voltage ripple across the capacitor, \( X_{C\%} \), is determined as follows:

\[ X_{C\%} = \frac{\Delta V_{C}}{V_{C}} \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} (58)

When the second source is available, the capacitor voltage ripple equals to the output voltage ripple, which is obtained using (54). Meanwhile, when both of sources are available, output voltage ripple achieved as follows:

\[ \frac{\Delta V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{t_{1}}{RC} \]  \hspace{1cm} (59)

7. COMPARISON STUDY

The efficiency of the proposed converter have been tested in a double input DC-DC converter presented in [10]. However, their difference in configuration is considerable. Therefore, the mentioned converters are compared in boosting the voltage for identical input voltages, and in various operation states efficiency. It should be noted that the converters are different in circuit elements number and configuration so, the comparisons based on this factors are not possible. For 50V in the first input voltage source and 30V in the second input voltage source, the steady state output voltage waveforms of two converters are given in Fig. 10. Unlike the double input DC-DC converter presented in [10], the proposed double input DC-DC converter has the capability of boosting the voltage such that for 50V and 30V input voltages, the output voltage is 175V in states I and II, and 323V in states III and IV. While, the converter presented in [10] produces 49V output voltage for the same input voltages.

The efficiency of the proposed converter have been tested in MATLAB/SIMULINK and given in Fig. 11. Also, the efficiency of presented converter in [10] for various operation states is shown in Fig. 12. It should be noted that in general, the double input converters has lower efficiency than single input converters because of more elements in their structure and different operation. Anyway, results show that the proposed converter has higher efficiency than the presented converter in [10] in most operation states.
Fig. 11. Efficiency variation of proposed converter for various operation states.

Fig. 12. Efficiency variation of presented converter in [10] for various operation states.

8. SIMULATION RESULTS

To verify the proper operation of proposed double input DC-DC converter, the converter operation is simulated in MATLAB/SIMULINK. Table 1 gives values of the converter elements and parameters. In this study, values of $D_{s11}$, $D_{s12}$, $D_{s21}$, $D_{s22}$, $D_{sc1}$, $D_{sc2}$ for first and second states are 0.67, 0.33, 1, zero, 0.67 and 0.67, respectively. Considering that the proposed converter operates as a step up converter, it is necessary that the $D_{s1}$ should be less than 0.5. Duty cycle for switch $S_1$ is equal to 0.4. Fig. 13 shows the waveforms of the proposed converter parameters in the states I and II. Also, capacitors and inductors charging and discharging currents are shown in detail. In state I, switches $S_{11}$, $S_{21}$ and $S_C$ conduct, and switches $S_{12}$ and $S_{22}$ are off. From two input voltage sources, only $V_{in2}$ is connected to the converter. The first state consists of two modes. In this figure, charging process of the impedance network elements using $V_{in2}$ voltage source in first half switching cycle and discharging process of the energy to the load in the next half switching cycle is shown in detail. In the first mode, the Z-source inductors are charged using $V_{in2}$ voltage source and in the second mode, the Z-source inductors charge the capacitors. In the state II, the whole energy from Z-source elements are transferred to the load. As shown in this figure, output voltage is stepped up to 175V using converter impedance network.

For states III and IV, values of $D_{s11}$, $D_{s12}$, $D_{s21}$, $D_{s22}$, $D_{sc1}$, $D_{sc2}$ are zero, 1, 0.5, 0.5, zero and zero, respectively. Fig. 14 shows the waveforms of the converter parameters in state III and IV. In the first two modes, the Z-source inductors are charged using both two voltage sources and then, the Z-source inductors charge the capacitors and transfer the power to the load. In the second two modes, the Z-source inductors are charged using $V_{in1}$ voltage source and then, the Z-source inductors charge the capacitors and transfer the power to the load. As shown in this figure, the output voltage is stepped up to 323V.

Simulation results show the proper performance of the proposed double input DC-DC converter in delivering the power from two input sources to the output port. As the results show, the converter can absorb the power from each input port individually or from both ports simultaneously. Moreover, the capability of the proposed converter in boosting the input voltage is indicated in the simulation results.

9. CONCLUSION

A new configuration for double input DC-DC converters based on modified Z-source converter is suggested in this paper. Different operation modes of the proposed converter are completely analyzed and then, the voltage gain, current and voltage stresses, voltage ripple are calculated. The main merits of the suggested
Fig. 14. Waveforms of the proposed converter parameters in state III and state IV, if the first input source, is active; (a) diode D1 current; (b) diode D2 current; (c) capacitors C1 and C2 current; (d) voltage across capacitors C1 and C2; (e) inductors L1 and L2 current; (f) voltage across inductors L1 and L2; (g) output voltage; (h) input current ripple.

converter are its high voltage gain and lower ripple in output voltage. In addition, the studied characteristics of the proposed double input DC-DC converter make it suitable for standalone PV/Battery system application. Simulation results of the suggested converter in MATLAB/SIMULINK validate its performance. The results show ability of the proposed double input converter in delivering power from each input voltage source individually or simultaneously. The results indicate that in states I and II, the input voltage is boosted to 175V, and in states III and IV, the input voltage is boosted to 323V. Efficiency of the proposed converter is tested for various load in all of the states.
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